2712 N. ASHLAND AVENUE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60614

VOICE: 773-404-6650 FAX: 773-404-6450

E-MAIL: PATENTS@POTTHASTLAW.COM

Law Offices of
POTTHAST
&
ASSOCIATES

CONFIDENTIAL FAX

To:	US P	US PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Fro				SARA M. POTTHAST		
	ATTN	N: ANTHON	Y WEIER	-GROUP 1761	,			
Fax:	703-8	372-9306			Pages:	-3-		
Phone:	571-2	272-1409			Date:	02/20/2004		
Re:	USS	ER. NO.10/	078,600-		CC:			
	RE\$I	PONSE TO	REST. R	EQUIREMENT				
X Urge	ent	☐ For Re	view	☐ Please Com	ıment	X Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle	
• Com	ments	B :					3	
		IS A RESPO	ONSE TO	O RESTRICTION	n requ	REMENT(2 PAGES	S) WITH CERTIFICATE	
PLEAS	E ACK	NOWLEDG	SE RECE	IPT.				
THANK	(YOU							

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS FACSIMILE COMMUNICATION IS PROTECTED BY LAW AGAINST IMPROPER INTERCEPTION, USE OR DISSEMINATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INDICATED RECIPIENT, BUT HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION THROUGH NO FAULT OF YOUR OWN, WE APOLOGIZE FOR ANY INCONVENIENCE. IN SUCH EVENT, IT IS REQUESTED THAT YOU IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY OUR OFFICE SO THAT ARRANGEMENTS MAY BE MADE FOR RETRANSMISSION AND RETURN OR DESTRUCTION OF THE COMMUNICATION AT NO COST TO YOU.

PICONIVED

GENTRAL PAX GENTER

FEB 2 0 2004



FET-25

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Zbigniew G. Lassota et al. "Beverage Making System with Flow Meter Measurement Control and Method"

Ser. No. 10/078,600 Filed: February 19, 2002 Examiner: Anthony J. Weier Group Art Unit: 1761

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BY FAX: 703-872-9306

Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement of February 4, 2004, applicant respectfully elects without prejudice and with traverse, the claims of Group 1: Claims 1-28 and 57-63.

The restriction requirement is inappropriate because of the virtual use of the same language and same limitations in the apparatus and method claims. In such claims having the same limitations, one cannot practice the apparatus without also practicing the method and vice versa. This should be self-evident from a reading of the claims, and assertions that such claims can be practiced independently is without logic or merit Accordingly, with respect to such claims having substantially the same language, it is irrelevant they may be classified differently with respect to such claims.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement is therefore

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

James W. Potthäst, Reg. No. 26,792

Customer No. 28,439 Potthast & Associates 2712 North Ashland Avenue Chicago, Il 60614-1106

Voice: 773-404-6650 Fax: 773-404-6450

CERTIFICATE OF TRANMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)

I hereby certify that the forgoing Amendment A(2-pages) and fax transmittal form (1-page) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 703-872-9306) on this 20th day of June, 2004.

Sara M. Potthast

2